ISSN: 3064-6545 | Volume 3, Issue 2

Open Access | PP: 42-46

DOI: https://doi.org/10.70315/uloap.ulmhs.2025.0302007





Comparison of Sonographic and Histological Findings among Women with Breast Masses in Owo

Dr. C. Adebiyi, FWACS¹, Dr. B.O Omolase, FWACS¹, Dr. U.O Kalu, FWACS², Dr. C.E Okereke, FWACS³, Dr. P.O Ologunagba, FMC PATH⁴, Dr. C.O Omolase, FWACS, FMCOPH⁵

¹Department of Radiology, Federal Medical Centre, Owo.
²Department of Radiology. Federal Medical Centre Umuahia.
³Department of Surgery, Federal Medical, Owo.
⁴Department of Pathology, Federal Medical Centre, Owo.
⁵Department of Ophthalmology, Federal Medical Centre, Owo.

Abstract

This retrospective study compared the sonographic features with histopathological findings in women with breast lesions at Federal medical centre Owo, to determine the sensitivity, specificity and accuracy of ultrasound in the diagnosis of breast masses using histopathology as gold standard. Ethical approval was obtained from the Health and Research Ethics Committee of Federal Medical Centre,Owo. Sonographic reports conducted on 123 patients aged 15 to 69years (mean age 38.57years) who presented with breast lesions at Federal medical centre, Owo were retrieved from the case notes.

Histopathology reports of the patients were also retrieved and correlated with the ultrasonographic findings. American College of Radiology's Breast imaging Reporting and Data System (BI-RADS) descriptors were used to categorize the sonographic features into different BI-RADS assessment category. The BI-RADS in this study accurately predicted 63 benign breast lesions and 60 malignant lesions. Histopathology identified 65 benign breast lesions and 58 malignant lesions. The difference may be attributed to the limitation of relying purely on morphological appearances. Ultrasound BI-RADS assessment from this study has sensitivity of 96.5%, specificity of 93.8%, positive predictive value (PPV) of 93.3%, negative predictive value (NPV) of 96.8% and accuracy of 95.1%. When histopathology results were compared with that of BI-RADS predictions in this study, no statistically significant difference (p > 0.05) was observed.

Keywords: Women Breast Histology Ultrasound.

INTRODUCTION

Breast lump in women is a cause of great concern. Its presence is known to cause fear, anxiety, uncertainty, apprehension and depression.¹Breast cancer has a worldwide major public health implication among women. It is the most common cancer seen among women in both developed and developing countries, globally it is the most common cause of cancer death in women.² There is a rising incidence of breast cancer with faster rate of occurrence in developing countries population that previously enjoyed low incidence of the disease as indicated by global cancer statistics.³ Rising incidence of breast cancer is thought to be due to westernization that is associated with life style changes such as calorie dense food consumption, obesity, physical inactivity, late child bearing and having fewer children.^{2,4} · However, early breast cancer detection and treatment was responsible for the decline in mortality rate from breast cancer in developing countries.⁵Early diagnosis and management of breast masses is therefore important to reduce mortality. The best cancer screening test is mammography with sensitivity of 85-95%, this can help with the diagnosis of symptomatic and asymptomatic breast diseases.⁶However, limitations to this technique is the relatively high rate of false mammograms. Dense breasts tissues can undermine

Citation: Dr. C. Adebiyi, Dr. B.O Omolase, et al., "Comparison of Sonographic and Histological Findings among Women with Breast Masses in Owo", Universal Library of Medical and Health Sciences, 2025; 3(2): 42-46. DOI: https://doi. org/10.70315/uloap.ulmhs.2025.0302007.

Comparison of Sonographic and Histological Findings among Women with Breast Masses in Owo

the sensitivity of mammography as some cancers are missed hence often requiring breast ultrasound to complete breast imaging .⁷ Other imaging modalities of the breast such as magnetic resonance imaging⁸ and digital mammography are not readily available in developing countries.

Breast ultrasonography is a readily available, affordable and cheap breast imaging modality. It does not involve use of ionizing radiation. Clinical or radiological suspected breast abnormalities are evaluated widely by breast ultrasonography as a diagnostic modality.^{9,10} As a screening modality, it effectively detects occult breast cancer in dense breasts.^{11,12}Several sonographic features like margins, shape, echotexture have been proposed for diagnoses of breast nodules.^{13,14}Gold standard of laboratory breast lesion confirmation is widely done by histopathology which uses an invasive technique of biopsy for benign and malignant breast lesion.

The aim of this study was to correlate the sonographic and histopathologic breast findings among women who presented with breast masses at Federal Medical Centre, Owo.

MATERIALS AND METHOD

This was a descriptive retrospective study carried out at Federal Medical Centre, Owo which covered the period between January 2019 to December 2022.

Prior to commencement of the study, approval was obtained from the health and research ethics committee of the Federal Medical Centre, Owo.

A total of 123 case notes of patients who presented in the breast clinic of the department of surgery who also had breast ultrasound examination during this period were used for this study.

Clinicalinformation, ultrasound findings and histopathological diagnosis were assessed. The interpretation of the ultrasound findings were based on the standard lexicon recommended by American College of Radiology - BIRADS.

The mass was characterized using BIRADS ultrasound descriptors of mass.

MARGIN: circumscribed, obscured, microlobulated, ill-defined/indistinct or spiculated.

SHAPE: oval, round, lobular or irregular.

ORIENTATION: parallel or not parallel to the skin.

MATRIX ECHOGENICITY AND HOMOGENICITY: isochoic, anechoic, hypoechoic or hyperechoic, homogenous, heterogenous and attenuation indifferent, shadowing or enhancement.

Additionally, any associated findings e.g. architectural

distortion or axillary lymphadenopathy, skin changes, duct changes, presenceof calcification were noted.

A final ACR breast imaging reports and Data System (BIRADS) assessment category was assigned with recommendation as follows:-

BIRADS 1 (negative), BIRADS 2 (benign findings), BIRADS 3 (probably benign)

BIRADS 4 (suspicious for malignancy), BIRADS 5 (highly suspicious for malignancy)

BIRADS 6 (histological proven malignancy).

Incases of multiple lesions with different sonographic features in one breast the highest B1-RADS score was recorded.

Tru-cut biopsy was performed and pathological diagnosis was obtained from pathological reports from the department of pathology and were compared with ultrasound findings.

The data obtained were entered and analyzed using the statistical package for social science software for windows 22 (SPSS Version 22)

RESULTS

In this study, the total number of cases were 123. The age range of patients presenting with breast diseases was 15 - 69 years with mean age of 38.57 years. Most of the patients (34), were within the age range of 40 – 49 years representing 27.7% (Table1).Majority of the patients were premenopausal (89) representing 72.4%, while 34 patients were post-menopausal representing 27.6% (Table 2). Over a third of the premenopausal patients (31, 34.8%) had malignant histologic findings while over three quarter (27, 79.4%) of the postmenopausal had malignant histologic breast findings (Table 3). Most of the patients (115, 93.5%) have no positive family history of breast cancer however less than half of them (50, 43.5%) had malignant histologic findings. All the patients (8,100%) with positive family history of breast cancer had malignant histologic findings (Table 4). Only 2 of the patients representing 1.6% had personal history of breast cancer. Majority of the patients (80) representing 65% presented with lump while breast swelling was seen in31.7% (39) and 3.3% (4) presented with breast pain (Table 5). The common disease of the breast by histopathological findings were invasive ductal carcinoma (56) representing 45.5%, fibroadenoma (53) representing 43.1%. Others include lipoma (7) representing 5.7%, fibrocystic disease(4) representing 3.3% and Invasive papillary carcinoma (3) representing 2.4% (Table 6). Benign breast lesion by ultrasound (BI-RADS) were 63 (51.2%) while by histopathology was 65 (52.8%). Malignant breast lesion by ultrasound (BI-RADS) were 60 (48.8%), however by histopathology were 58 (47.2% (Table 7).

Comparison of Sonographic and Histological Findings among Women with Breast Masses in Owo

Table 1. Age Group of Patients with Breast Lesions

			Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
		<20	13	10.6	10.6	10.6
		20-29	23	18.7	18.7	29.3
		30-39	24	19.5	19.5	48.8
Group Label	Valid	40-49	34	27.6	27.6	76.4
		50-59	19	15.4	15.4	91.9
		60-69	10	8.1	8.1	100.0
		Total	123	100.0	100.0	

Table 2. Menopausal Status of Patients with Breast Lesions

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
	PREMENOPAUSAL	89	72.4	72.4	72.4
VALID	POST MENOPAUSAL	34	27.6	27.6	100.0
	TOTAL	123	100.0	100.0	

Table 3. Menopausal Status versus Histology

		HİSTOLOGİC	TYPE OF LESION
		BENIGN	MALIGNANT
PREMENOPAUSAL	Count	31	89
	% within MENOPAUSAL STATUS	34.8%	100.0%
MENOPAUSAL STATUS	Count	27	34
	% within MENOPAUSAL STATUS	79.4	100.0%
POST MENOPAUSAL	Count	58	123
	% within MENOPAUSAL STATUS	47.2%	100.0%

Table 4. Family History of Breast Cancer Versus Histology

			HISTOLOGIC TY	PE OF LESION
			BENIGN	MALIGNANT
	YES	Count	0	8
FAMILY HISTORY OF	162	% within FAMILY HISTORY OF BREAST CANCER	0.0%	100.0%
BREAST CANCER	NO	Count	65	50
		% within FAMILY HISTORY OF BREAST CANCER	56.5%	43.5%
Total		Count	65	58
IUlai		% within FAMILY HISTORY OF BREAST CANCER	52.8%	47.2%

Table 5. Physical Presentation of Patients with Breast Lesions

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
	BREAST LUMP	80	65.0	65.0	65.0
Valid	BREAST PAIN	4	3.3	3.3	68.3
	BREAST SWELLING	39	31.7	31.7	100.0
	Total	123	100.0	100.0	

Table 6. Histologic Diagnosis

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
	FIBROCYSTIC	4	3.3	3.3	3.3
	FIBRO ADENOMA	54	43.9	43.9	47.2
V-1: J	LIPOMA	7	5.7	5.7	52.8
Valid	DUCTAL CA	55	44.7	44.7	97.6
	INVASIVE PAPILLARY CARCINOMA	3	2.4	2.4	100.0
	Total	123	100.0	100.0	

		Ultrasound		Histopathology	
		Frequency	Percent	Frequency	Percent
VALID	BENIGN	63	51.2	65	52.8
	MALIGNANT	60	48.8	58	47.2
	Total	123	100.0	123	100.0

Table 7. Comparison of the Reports of Breast Lesions betw	ween Ultrasound and Histopathology
---	------------------------------------

DISCUSSION

The most common ailment from which most women suffer throughout the world are breast diseases.Breast lump is the most common symptom seen in both benign and malignant breast disease.

In this study, the age range of the patients with breast masses was 15-69 years with a mean of 38.57 ± 14.16 years. This is similar to the studies by Mark.COKeji etal, ¹⁵Hafsat Oluwatosin Akinnibosun et al¹⁶and Bello N etal¹⁷where the age range and meanwere 15-69 years with mean of 40years, 16-75 years with mean of 34.66 ± 13.99 respectively. Most of the patients had benign lesions by BI-RADS constituting 63(51.2%) whereas malignant lesion by BI-RADS constitute 60(48.8%).

Histopathological diagnosis of malignancy in this study had highest frequency(25) representing 73.5% of the patients aged 40-49 years. This finding is similar to Ogbunaya et al¹⁸wheremalignant cases had highest frequency of 37(28%) at age ranged 40-49 year. This could be due to the fact that both studies were conducted in southern part of the country and also that this age range constitute the highest in both studies. Studies done by Nwafor CC and Keshiro¹⁹ also had highest frequency 86 (33.5%) at age range 40-49 years,this could also be due to geographical and racial similarities. However, studies by Mark C. okeji et al¹⁵Okobia et al²⁰had highest frequency at age 50-59years (27.8%) and 4th decade of life respectively. The difference could be due to the fact that the last two studies were conducted in the same geographical area different from where our study was conducted.

The commonest malignant breast lesion by histopathology was invasive ductal carcinoma with highest frequency of 55 representing 44.7%.

This is similar to studies done by Nelema Jahan et al²¹, Nwafor CC and Keshiro¹⁹where ductal carcinoma was the commonest histopathological malignant findings with frequency of 31 (26.72%) and 237 (92.2%) respectively.

Most of the patients with benign lesions by histology were age ranged between 20-29 years with histopathological benign lesion frequency of 22 representing 95.7% of the total patient in that age group. This is similar to study by Ogbuanya et.al¹⁸and Hafsat et.al ¹⁶where benign lesions by histology ranked high between 20-29 years(23.8%) and

15-30 years (64.5%) respectively, this could be due to high prevalence of benign breast lesion among the age group.

The commonest benign histopathological findings was fibroadenoma which constitute about 43.9% in this study, this is similar to Ogbuanya et al¹⁸ (33.8%), Nwafor CC and Keshiro¹⁹(61.7%). Also similar findings was seen in Ibadan (59%), Ghana (70%)[22,23]. This is because fibroadenomas are common in negros which have been previously documented²⁴

The BI-RADS in this study predicted 63 benign breast lesion and 60 malignant lesion while histology identified 65 benign lesions and 58 malignant lesions. With Pearson's correlations, the discrepancy between histology reports and BIRADS in this study shows no statistical significance (p>0.05). Sonographic features and histological reports correlations in this study showed that sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value, negative predictive value and accuracy were 96.5%, 93.8%, 93.3%, 96.8%, 95.1% respectively.

CONCLUSION

This study demonstrated that ultrasound plays a major role in the characterization of breast diseases. Ultrasound is highly sensitive in differentiating benign and malignant breast masses. This study showed detection accuracy of 95.1% and this suggest that if BIRADS assessment category is done well, biopsy may be deferred although ultrasound is operator dependent and the goal standard is histology.

REFERENCES

- 1. Deane K, Degner L. Information need, uncertainty and anxiety in women who had a breast biopsy with benign outcome. Cancer Nurs. 1998; 21(2):117-26
- Youlden DR, Cramb SM, Dunn NA, et al. The Descriptive Epidemiology of Female Breast Cancer: An International Comparison of Screening, Incidence, Survival and Mortality. Cancer Epidemiol. 2012;36(3):237-48.
- Sickles, E.A, R.A fully and P.W caller 1984. Benign breast lessions: ultrasound detection and diagnosis. Radiology, 151:467-486
- Colditz GA, Sellers TA, Trapido E. Epidemiology-Identifying the Causes and Preventability of Cancer? Nat Rev Cancer. 2006;6(1):75-83.
- 5. Dennis, M, S.H Parker, A.J. Klaus, T.A. stavns, kaske and

Comparison of Sonographic and Histological Findings among Women with Breast Masses in Owo

S.B clark, 2001. Breast Biopsy avoidance: The value of normal mammogram and normal sonograms in the setting of a palpable lump. Radiology, 219:;186-191.

- Chakraboti K, Bahl P, Sahoo M, Ganguly SK, Oberoi c. Magnetic resonance imaging of breast masses: Comparison with mammography. Indian J RadioL Imaging 2005:15(3):381-387
- 7. Kelemen LE, Pankratz VS, Sellers TA: Age-specific trends in mammographic density:the Minnesota breast cancer family study. Am J EpidemioL 2008, 167:1027-1036.
- Lee,C.H.,R.C.Smith.J.A.R.N. Trolsano and I. Tocino, 1999. Clinical usefulness of MR Imaging of the breast in the evaluation of the problematic mammogram. AJR.,173:1323-1329
- Buchberger W, Dekoekkoek-Doll P, Obrist P, Dumser M. Incidental findings of sonography of the breast : clinical significance and diagnostic workup. AR 1999, 173:921-7
- 10. Gordon PB, Goldenburg SL. Malignant breast masses detected only by ultrasound. Cancer 1995; 76:626-30
- .Kolb TM, Lichy J, Newhouse JH. Occult cancer in women in dense breast:detection with screening us – diagnostic yield and tumour characteristics. Radiology 1998; 207:191-9
- 12. Crystal P, Strano SD, Shcharynski S, Koretz MJ. Using sonography to screen women with mammographically dense breasts.AJR 2003; 181:177-82.
- Stavros A.T. Breast ultrasound Philadelphia: Williams and Wilkens, Helmet M. The practice of breast ultrasound: techniques, findings, differential diagnosis. New York : Theme, 2000.
- Stavos AT, Thickman D, Rapp CL, Dennis MA, Parker SH, SisneyGA. Solid breast nodules: use of sonography to distinguish between benign and malignant lesions. Radiology 1995, 196:123-34
- 15. Mark C. Okeji, Kenneth K. Agwu, KennedYK. Agwuna and Ifeoma C. Nwachukwu . Sonographic Features and Its Accuracy in Differentiating between Benign and Malignant Breast Lesions in Nigerian Women. World Journal of Medical Sciences 12 (4): 370-374, 2015ISSN 1817-3055© IDOSI Publications, 2015 DOI: 10.5829/ idosi.wjms.2015.12.4.95240.

- 16. Akinnibosun-Raji HO,Saidu SA, Mustapha Z,Ma'aji SM, Umar M, Kabir FU,Udochukwu UG, Garba KJ, Raji MO. Correlation of Sonographic Findings and Hisopathological Diagnoses in Women Presenting With Breast Masses. J West Afr Coll Surg.2022 Apr-Jun;12(2):109-114.doi: 10.4103/jwas-84-22. Epub2022 Aug 27. PMID:36213797;PMCID:PMC9536401.
- Bello N, Olarinoye-Akorede SA, Mohammed HM, Aliyu I, Abdullahi MZ, IbrahimMZ, Rasheed MH. The Correlation Of Sonographic and Histopathologic Findings in the Diagnosis of Palpable Breast Masses in Zaria. Journal of the West African College of Surgeons, 18 Jan 2023, 13(1):74-78 https://doi.org/10.4103/jwas.jwas 21822 PMID 36923804 PMCID:PMC10010590
- Ugwu-Olisa, O.A., Nnamdi, A.S., Gregory, N.C., & Festus, I. (2016). Clinicopathologic study of breast lumps in Abakaliki, South Eastern Nigeria. Asian Journal of medical sciences, 7(3), 58-64. https://doi.org/10.3126/ ajms.v7i3.13772
- Nwafor CC, Keshiro SO. The Pathology of Breast Biopsies in a sample of Nigerian Patients: Review and Analysis. Ann Afr Surg. 2015;12(2):89-94
- Okobia, M.N., C.H. Bunker, F.E. Okonofua and U. Osime, 2006. Knowledge, attitude and practice of Nigerian Women towards breast cancer: a cross-sectional study. World Journal of surgicalOncology 4: Doi: 10, 1186/1477-7819-4-11.
- Jahan, N., Rahman, M. M., Alam, M.S., & Islam, M.S. (2020). Evaluation of BNreasdt Lump by Ultrasound and Correlation with Histological Findings. Journal of Surgical Sciences, 23(1), 10-12 https://doi.org/10.3329/jss. v23i1.44238
- Irabor DO, Okolo CA. An Audit of 149 Consecutive Breast Biopsies in Ibadan, Nigeria. Pak J Med Sci. 2008;24(2):257-62.
- Bewtra C. Fibroadenoma in Women in Ghana. Pan Afri Med J. 2009;2:11
- 24. Oluwole SF, Freeman HP. Analysis of Benign Breast Lesions in Blacks. Am J Surg. 1979;137(6):786-9.

Copyright: © 2025 The Author(s). This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.